

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,057	02/02/2006	Jean-Francois Delhomel	3665-171	8474
23117 NIXONI 8- VA	7590 07/05/2007		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		R	ZUCKER, PAUL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/563,057	DELHOMEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Paul A. Zucker	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
• •	VIO OET TO EVEIDE « MONTI	1/0\ OD THIDTY (00\ DAYO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	—· s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are pending in the application	nn	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documen 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ved.
•		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/3/2006</u> .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 18, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the enumerated claims recites the limitation "preferably". The limitation "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Claims 18, 24 and 25 are therefore rendered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

£ 4 5

Application/Control Number: 10/563,057

Art Unit: 1621

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

Page 3

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cain et al (US 5,523,302 06-1996).

Instantly claimed is a method for preparing 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives substituted by a carboxyalkyloxy or carboxyalkylthio group, comprising contacting a hydroxyl- or thiol-bearing 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one with at least one O-protected carboxyalkylhalide of formula (II) followed acid hydrolysis of the ester obtained.

Cain teaches (Column 25, Scheme VII) a process for the synthesis of the compounds of formula (Ig) which proceeds via the acid-catalyzed condensation of a hydroxyl-substituted benzaldehyde and a substituted acetophenone to produce a hydroxyl-substituted benzaldehyde derivative followed by the alkylation (See conversion (XVII) → (Ig) with G= leaving group, left branch) with a compound bearing a protecting group and a leaving Group followed by deprotection.

The difference between the process taught by Cain and that instantly claimed is that Cain does not, in this scheme define the groups W and leaving group G while in the instant case these are defined as a carboxy group and halo group, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,057

Art Unit: 1621

Cain, however, teaches (Column 50, lines 5-15) the alkylation of a phenol at 65°C in DMF in the presence of potassium carbonate as basic catalyst. This corresponds to the alkyation process suggested above by Cain. Cain further teaches (Column 50, lines 35-48) the deprotection, under acidic conditions, of the alkylation product in the presence of excess trifluoroacetic acid at room temperature. The determination of the proper amount of trifluoroacetic acid to employ would be determined by the artisan in the course of optimization of the process

Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the method for alkylation taught by Cain to perform the synthesis of 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-ones taught by Cain. Since the use of such methodology is presented in this context, there would have been a reasonable expectation for success.

Thus the instantly claimed process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

4. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cain et al (US 5,523,302 06-1996) as applied to claims 14-25 above, and further in view of Szajda et al (Pharmazie, 1989, 44, pages 190-191).

Instantly claimed is a method for preparing 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives substituted by a carboxyalkyloxy or carboxyalkylthio group, comprising contacting a

Page 5

. Z

Application/Control Number: 10/563,057

Control Number: 10/505,03

Art Unit: 1621

hydroxyl- or thiol-bearing 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one with at least one O-protected carboxyalkylhalide of formula (II) followed acid hydrolysis of the ester obtained to give a compound of formula (I).

The difference between the process taught by Cain and that instantly claimed is that Cain does not teach the application of his synthesis to compounds of formula (I) as set forth in claim 26.

Szajda, however, teaches (Scheme) the synthesis of compounds of formula (I) in which X_1 - X_3 and X_5 = H and X_5 = G4-R4 where R4 is carboxy-substituted. Szajda teaches (Scheme) the alkylation of a hydroxyl-bearing 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one with an O-protected carboxyalkylhalide to give a compound that corresponds to an ester of the compound of formula (I). Szajda does not teach the hydrolysis of this ester.

Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply the process of Cain to the compound of Szajda in order to produce a compound for which the method of hydrolysis to the acid was known. Since similar chemistries are involved there would have been a reasonable expectation for success.

Thus the instantly claimed process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

5. Claims 14-26 are pending. Claims 14-26 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,057

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Evonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> aul A. Zucker **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1621